

# Agenda PC - IDE

## Meeting 165

Date: 14-03-2022

Time: 12:45 – 14:00

Place: MS Teams

Minutes secretary: Ilse Akkermans

CC: CES, Simone Steinmeijer

Guests: Sjoerd from Daedalus

Members	Name	Present/Absent
Teachers	Geke Ludden (chair)	
	Alberto Martinetti	
	Winnie Dankers	
	Kostas Nizamis (secretary)	
Students	Rianne Hagen (vice-chair)	
	Beatrijs Hinloopen	Absent
	Niek Reeze	
	Johan Stekelenburg	Absent

Permanent guests	Name	Present/Absent
Programme Director	Jan Willem Polderman	
BSc. Coordinator	Hiske Schuurman-Hemmer	
MSc. Coordinator	Elora Luijkx	
S.G. Daedalus	Jasmijn Poorts and Luna Claasen	

### 1. PC Meetings on campus

Not everyone is in favor, for some it is convenient to have meetings online. A hybrid method will be tried and tested.

### 2. Announcements

- a. Chairman
- b. Program Director

[JW] Last Friday there was a Taster Day for prospective master students. Every track presented itself with an intro, then a lecturer introduced a course from that track followed by a short case and lastly a student from that track presented their thesis. There were only 19 registrations and only 10 people actually showed up. However, those people did stay the entire afternoon. Th Taster Day for the bachelor was postponed to April 1<sup>st</sup> due to the storm on the original date.

Last weekend the campus experience days took place as well. For IDE this was organized completely by students. Both activities have to be thoroughly evaluated. Lots of effort were put in but only a low number of students participated.

c. Officer of Educational Affairs, SG Daedalus

d. Others

1. Sjoerd has an announcement that there is a budget from the faculty and it has to be discussed with the PC what can be done with this budget.

There is a Festival Daedalus wants to organize for their lustrum. For this they want to address an NPO fund. To access this fund they were instructed to pass it via the OLC. The NPO fund is a government fund that is administered via uni. Daedalus has 6000 euros to divide and the aforementioned festival would like to claim 2000 euros. The festival will take place at Rutbeek after the summer holiday break. It can be described as a “chill vibe festival”. The amount of visitors is yet unknown. Besides artists there will be foodtrucks, games, hammocks, bean bags and maybe the option to swim in the Rutbeek. To get the budget the OLC has to agree that the board of Daedalus can grant the money to the Festival.

[Winnie] Since the festival is unrelated to study I do not really see why the OLC should give an advice?

[Geke] I think we should get the regulations for the funding because we’re unaware of the procedure of this funding. The regulations should be send to Kostas. Sjoerd will send an overview of the festival plans and the funding procedure to the OLC.

2. [Rianne] This will be Rianne’s last PC meeting as she is graduating next month, probably.

### 3. Minutes previous meeting

The previous minutes were updated with the mentioned title of the document.

### 4. Formal complaint Evacom

The matter has been discussed with the lecturer.

### 5. Previous action points

<i>Action:</i>	<i>Target date:</i>	<i>Executed by:</i>	<i>Status/remark</i>
AP 1 – 164: Hiske and Elora are in the Program Board/Management, they might need to think of a way to comment on change/improvements in		Hiske and Elora	In Progress

courses directly to lecturers. This in order to confirm that teachers implement changes.			
AP 2 – 164: Arrange a meeting with Hiske and Jan Willem to evaluate the receiving & giving feedback course.		EvaCom	In Progress
AP 3 – 164: Write a proposal to Tom Vaneker to ask for clarification on the difference between the existing master course and the new master course proposal on additive manufacturing.		Alberto	Done, clarification was given, Alberto also wrote an advice on behalf of the PC. Advice is approved by the PC.  <b>AP Geke:</b> see if the advice of the PC concerning the new master on additive manufacturing is finalized
AP 3 – 161: Yearly report PC-IDE		Alberto	In Progress
AP 4 – 161: Replacement for Rianne (maybe an international student?)		Student members	Beatrijs want to schedule a meeting with Jessica to see if she would fit in with OLC
AP 1 – 159: Next academic year, discuss how the ‘giving and receiving feedback’ workshop went and what the effects are, after module 1.		EvaCom	Has to stay on the AP list
AP 1 – 156: Re-establishing Discipline Council	September 2021	Geke and Jan Willem	Discipline council still in progress, has to stay on the AP list

## 6. Minor ‘Going Dutch’

*Advice on if this should be part of an Academic Programme, and if it should be accessible for IDE students.*

Students with partly Dutch backgrounds could take advantage of this and get 'free' points in this way. The OLC is in doubt if it this minor is useful for everyone. Hiske and Jan Willem already decided this minor should not be accessible for IDE students. Winnie agrees this minor is not of the right academic level. It is a course in Dutch and adjusting to Dutch labour market. This is not something that fits in the IDE curriculum, yet a minor does not need to fit in the IDE curriculum. Still, as most Dutch companies and organizations are trying to become less Dutch this minor might not be the perfect fit for the IDE scope. Kostas thinks it should have a place somewhere, maybe not as a minor but as an extracurricular course. The Dutch work culture, especially compared to Mediterranean and Asian, is very different and something students might want to be aware of. Jan Willem mentions this minor could be something for the SU. The OLC agrees with the decision of JW and Hiske to not make this minor electable for IDE students.

#### **7. Discuss plans for a follow-up meeting of the assessment.**

Should there be a discussion upcoming academic year or a transfer to Educational meetings? The discussion could be moved to the staff meeting or moved to curriculum revision meetings. The OLC is in favour of the latter. The bachelor curriculum revision can assess courses, as well as discuss the matter of subjective assessment.

#### **8. Bachelor Curriculum Revision**

Jan Willem was looking for a common understanding but went above looking at courses. He held interviews with colleagues, where the most relevant questions were "what is IDE? What is and IDEr? Do you think the project line should be backbone of curriculum?" He notes that as a response to the first question several colleagues explained what and IDEr does, which is not the same as what IDE is. There was not much disagreement on the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> question. We all have the same idea of IDE and IDEr. Now there should be decided on a framework for designing the curriculum. Initially, Jan Willem asked many people, but asking many people might not give a centred conclusion. So Jan Willem asked a selected team. This Friday a second meeting will be held with this team. Therefore, Jan Willem cannot give explicit results yet. Once there is a plan more people can be involved to design the contents. But now the team is still discussing over the principles the curriculum should be built on. Stil, the interdisciplinary nature of the field makes it complex. There is a small chance that the curriculum will already be implemented next year.

[Niek] do you have a plan for after the discussion?

Jan Willem does, he first wants to discuss the plans with Geke. And he also wants to involve students. Jan Willem will also ask the advice from the PC at some point. Next meeting JW will have more concrete info.

#### **9. Any Other business/ Question round**

-

#### **10. Closure**

13:45

Year Planner: [link]

PC – Open action items from previous meetings			
<i>Action:</i>	Target date:	<i>Executed by:</i>	Status/remark
AP 1- 165 see if the advice of the PC concerning the new master on additive manufacturing is finalized		Geke	
AP 1 – 164: Hiske and Elora are in the Program Board/Management, they might need to think of a way to comment on change/improvements in courses directly to lecturers. This in order to confirm that teachers implement changes.		Hiske and Elora	In Progress
AP 2 –164: Arrange a meeting with Hiske and Jan Willem to evaluate the receiving & giving feedback course.		EvaCom	In Progress
AP 3 – 164: Write a proposal to Tom Vaneker to ask for clarification on the difference between the existing master course and the new master course proposal on additive manufacturing.		Alberto	Done, clarification was given, Alberto also wrote an advice on behalf of the PC. Advice is approved by the PC. AP Geke: see if the advice of the PC concerning the new master on additive manufacturing is finalized
AP 3 – 161: Yearly report PC-IDE		Alberto	In Progress
AP 4 – 161: Replacement for Rianne (maybe an international student?)		Student members	Beatrijs want to schedule a meeting with Jessica to see if she would fit in with OLC
AP 1 – 159: Next academic year, discuss how the 'giving and		EvaCom	Has to stay on the AP list

receiving feedback' workshop went and what the effects are, after module 1.			
AP 1 – 156: Re-establishing Discipline Council	September 2021	Geke and Jan Willem	Discipline council still in progress, has to stay on the AP list