

UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

Date: 11-09-2019

5

Present:

Chairman:

Dr.ir. H.J.M. Geijselaers

PC-members:

B.R. van Eijk, F. Krekt, H. Steenstra, I.T. van der Veen, N. van der Werf, ir E.E.G. Hekman dr.ir. M.B. de Rooij, ir M.E. Toxopeus, dr.ir. E.T.A. van der Weide

10

Permanent guests:

S. Buse, drs. E.M. Gommer, A.F. Heutink

Evaluation committee

-

Minute maker:

T.D. van der Molen

Guests

15

1. Opening

The chairman opens the meeting at 08.48.

20

2. Evaluation committee

The evaluation committee has not prepared anything yet so there is no input from them. Some raw data about the BSA was in, but not yet ready for discussion.

25

3. Minutes last meeting & Minutes Faculty Council

There are no remarks about the minutes.

30

A. Heutink explains that the remarks about the EER have been processed.

Action points

41: can be taken off

102: Remains

35

103: L. Gommer not yet present

104: L. Gommer not yet present

111: Remains

112: Done. F. Krekt has talked to the evaluation committee and agreed on some dates.

113: L. Gommer not yet present

40

Minutes Faculty Council

B. Geijselaers points out one point of interest: The master inserts. Which says it could be possible to do some assignment instead of courses. This is something the PC should keep an eye on.

45

M. Toxopeus thinks it is a project for the design lab with teams of multi-disciplinary people.

AP B. Geijselaers: Ask Masha van der Voort and T. van der Meer to come to a PC meeting and talk about this idea of a project in the master.

50

4. Announcements

Medezeggenschaps middag

The PC's are invited to the medezeggenschap middag of the UT on September 25th.

S. Buse will probably go.

55

Master robotics

B. Geijselaers got an email about a master robotics from M. Dohmen, which is something that should be discussed here. H. Muijzer will attend a PC meeting and talk about it.

60

AP B. Geijselaers: invite H. Muijzer to the next PC meeting to talk about the master robotics.

5. Plans per faculty for quality agreements until 2021

65

Teaching Professionalism

According to M. Toxopeus, there is an increase in support and overhead. This can be a good thing if the support is for teaching staff, but bad if the support creates more overhead.

E. vd Weide explains that teachers are already very busy and do not have time to take courses.

70

It is concluded that this should not put additional workload on teaching staff.

B van Eijk mentions that there is a big difference in how teachers give lectures. Some lecturers need extra training on this. He notes that this is something that has come forward every evaluation with some teachers. He also states that some courses could do with more teaching assistants. It is remarked that it is hard to find student assistants. There should be more promotion for student assistant positions.

75

B. Geijselaers believes that there should be a bigger coupling between professionalization and the evaluations.

80

Global citizens

There is some debate on whether global citizens means more international students or a larger focus on international things for Dutch students.

85

I it is problematic to have goals here for integration of international students while human resources is allowed to refuse them working as student assistants.

The recent decision by the Dutch government to reduce the amount of international students will effect this.

90

Community building

B. Geijselaers thinks this is something for Newton not the faculty. S. Buse replies that the focus of Newton is more on the students

Is there a decline in staff members as a member of Newton? Including them in activities could help community building. Also giving a list of new ME teachers to Newton could help them to join the association. S. Buse replies that there are not a lot of new staff members joining Newton, and thinks that for certain activities they could very well be included. He will discuss this with the board. B. Geijselaers adds that he will tell them to include the student associations more in making plans for this.

95

100

Talent development

M. Toxopeus suggests allowing students to go to a conference or something academic so they can witness the more research oriented stuff too. S. Buse agrees and says he went to one and that this was very useful. He suggests to have some funds or scholarships to make this possible.

105

AP B. Geijselaers: write a note on the recommendations for the quality plan

(There is a small break from 09:54 until 09:58)

110

6. Year plan 2019-2020

After some discussion and date shuffling a new year plan is set up.
(no minutes were needed on this)

(L. Gommer enters the meeting at 10:09)

115

I. van der Veen asks about the integration of project and courses now that the 15 EC rule is gone.

L. Gommer replies that there currently are no rules about integration since they are separate courses. This means that in theory people could do only the project, but we rely on peer pressure to stop this from happening. Making rules will create huge amounts of paperwork and workload.

120

7. Splitting the PC for SET and/or master and/or VU

125 B van Eijk says that splitting into a Master and Bachelor PC means most older students would leave to go to the master one leaving the bachelor PC understaffed. L. Gommer notes that not a lot of what is discussed is about SET which is why this idea was put forward.

A short discussion follows on the splitting of the PC's. The conclusion is to propose for SET to have its own PC. The rest will remain with the same PC.

130

AP B. Geijselaers: write note to M. Dohmen, J. Kok, M. Shahi, L. Gommer and the SET students about this proposal to split.

How are we going to get a VU student on this committee?

135 M. Toxopeus mentions there are two dates on the year plan for evaluating the VU programme. It is important to have the VU student at those dates, but for the other meetings not so much.

B. Geijselaers says that either a Skype connection is needed or the meeting should be moved.

140 **AP F. Krekt: Ask P. Roos about the evaluation committee for the VU**

8. Any other business

145 B. Geijselaers informs the meeting that he will retire in August, so there has to be a new chairman before that.

9. Closure

The chairman closes the meeting at 10:36

150

Faculty of Engineering Technology
Mechanical Engineering – Sustainable Energy Technology
Programme Committee

<i>Action points</i>				
	Action: (Agenda point)	Introduced on:	To be completed on:	To be completed by:
1	Let the evaluation committee discuss the course evaluations (in response to PC-377)	01-11-2001		
102	Write proposal regarding splitting the bachelor and master PC	27-02-2019		B. Geijselaers
103	Talk to the teacher about Manufacturing facility design	03-04-2019		L. Gommer
111	Add agenda topic 'delays in master due to internship' when annex is finished	08-05-2019		BOZ
114	Ask Masha and T. v/d Meer to come to the PC and talk about the idea for a project in the master	11-09-2019		B. Geijselaers
115	Invite H. Muizen to the next meeting to talk about the robotics master	11-09-2019		B. Geijselaers
116	Write a note on the recommendations for the quality plan	11-09-2019		B. Geijselaers
117	Write a note to M. Dohmen, J. Kok, M. Shahi, L. Gommer and the SET student about splitting the PC	11-09-2019		B. Geijselaers
118	Ask P. Roos about the evaluation committee for the VU	11-09-2019		F. Krekt