

ingenjören Kristina Edström **Engineer & Educational developer** Associate Professor in Engineering Education Development at KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden 1000+ participants in courses on Teaching and Learning in Higher Education, customized for KTH faculty CDIO Initiative for reform of engineering education since 2001 · Editor-in-Chief of the European Journal of Engineering Education, 2018-• M. Sc. in Engineering (Chalmers) and PhD in Technology and Learning (KTH) cdio • The KTH prize for outstanding educational achievements, 2004 Some publications Crawley, E.F., Hegarty, J., Edström, K., & Garcia Sanchez, C. (2020). Universities as Engines of Economic Development: Making Knowledge Exchange Work. Springer, Cham. Edström, K. (2020). Integrating the academic and professional values in engineering education – ideals and tensions. In Geschwind, L. Broström, A. & Larsen, K. (Eds.) Technical Universities - Past, present and future. Springer Higher Education Dynamics. Edström, K. (2020). The role of CDIO in engineering education research: Combining usefulness and scholarliness. European Journal of Engineering Education, 45(1), 113–127. Edström,K. (2018). Academic and professional values in engineering education: Engaging with the pas to explore a persistent tension. Engineering Studies, 10(1), 38-65. Crawley, E.F., Malmqvist, J., Östlund, S., Brodeur, D.R., & Edström, K. (2014). Rethinking Engineering Education: The CDIO Approach, 2nd ed., Springer Verlag. Edström, K., & Kolmos, A. (2014). PBL and CDIO: complementary models for engineering education development. *European Journal of Engineering Education*, 39(5), 539–555. Edström, K. (2008). Doing course evaluation as if learning matters most, *Higher Education Research & Development*, 27(2), 95–106.

Cost-neutral interventions



3

Jakob Kuttenkeuler



- Professor in Naval architecture.
- PhD in Aerospace engineering.
- 10 years as director of two MSc programs and one PhD program.
- Research on design process of high speed craft optimization for sustainability, Routing etc.
- Teaches Hydrodynamics, Ship dynamics, Maneouvering, Propeller design, Sailing mechanics etc.
- Awarded the KTH prize for outstanding educational achievements.
- Engaged in CDIO since start.









The acts of teachers need to be judged in the light of their impact on student learning.

Boud & Molloy, 2013





Family dinner Seven minutes Invest 0,20 € # No comments Fireworks Master test Stroke of Genius Ultimate Frisbee	







Providing feedback on students' work is one of the most expensive components in education

Often an ineffective investment:

- when the feedback is too slow
- when students will not use the comments (or even read them)
 - typically in the end of the course
 - when students do not benefit from using it
 - when students focus on the grade rather than learning (e.g. just want to pass)

...this means that our assessment normally does not support learning

Gibbs, 6. (1999) Using Assessment Strategically to Charge the way Students Learn. In Brown, S., Glasner, A. (Eds.) Assessment Matters: Choosing and Using Diverse Agroundness McGraw-Hill Education. Boud, D., & Dochy, F. (2010). Assessment 2020. Seven Propositions for Assessment Reform in Higher Education. Office of Learning and Teaching Schedure Alertalia

















<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text>

At the end of the course, the points contribute to final grade

There is also an oral exam, 0 p, 10 p, 20 p

One idea for the oral exam:

- the student brings all their reports
- you select a report randomly
- they should explain their reasoning and reflect further
- keep selecting reports until time runs out

They have to prepare discussing all of them ⁽ⁱ⁾ - that is such good studies!

(More about oral exams soon)

Points	Grade
25-28	Α
21-24	В
17-20	С
14-16	D
11-13	E
0-10	Fx



The principle is to separate the processes

- then both can be made cost-effective

Formative assessment (to support learning)

- made into a group learning activity
- intense involvement
- learn to discuss the subject
- immediate feedback
- expose variation
- social motivation

Summative assessment (to grade learning)

- by the teacher
- minimalistic
- sufficiently fair



Good for learning! ≜ **Continuous studies** Distributes student effort during the course. . The formative feedback session as a whole (giving feedback, getting feedback and discussions) generates learning: Repetition - Variation - Fast feedback. . Deep & interesting discussions (instead of discussions on definitions). . Social motivation – expose your understanding to others and see theirs. Satisfaction: Students feel that the teacher really cares about their work. • • Clear, fair and transparent grading system. Students feel their progression. • Good for the teacher! ≈1-3 minutes per paper. Final grading is no extra work ☺ 36





The Iceberg Principle

Group work with random presenter

Tell them on day one: All students in the group should be ready to present the whole project and take questions on all parts

Last minute: Choose the presenter randomly

38

Students choose

- It is possible to hide behind strong students
- There is little incentive to learn about each others work
- Only the best presenter will practice presenting
- Towards the end it is mainly the presenter who is working



Random choice

- Everyone knows you cannot hide
- Everyone must learn about all parts
 - what questions can we expect to get on X?
 - why did we choose to Y?
- Everyone will practice presenting











Oral exams are really good for learning

BUT

we worry about some things (write potential worries in the chat)

- 1. students telling each other what I asked
- 2. grading
- 3. having to fail students
- 4. the time it takes
- 5. big classes
- 6. fairness
- 7. covering the content
- 8. students who don't like it





(1)

Katrin taking an oral exam

BUT what about students telling each other what I asked? I have to come up with so many different questions!

The 7 minute trick



On the first day of the course, explain:

"The oral exam will last 25 minutes. **The first 7 minutes are yours**, to present something to show me that you have reached the learning outcomes"

During the course:

- Students will pay attention to the intended learning outcomes – please refer to them
- They will think "How can I show that I can ..."

When they present:

- Follow-up questions pop up without effort (check their understanding behind, what they leave out)
- Keep the intended learning outcomes in focus























56



 Students take the assignments very seriously - and are very



... other interesting words...

Accident investigation Weekly challenge Show Master test Demonstration Gymkhana Show & Tell Fair Keynote TED talk Potluck Conference Deadline Inspection Q&A session

Evaluation Summit Negotiation All hands on deck Campaign Consultancy Pitch Elevator pitch Pecha kucha Speed dating Match Audition Ceremony Installation Inauguration Boot camp

Time out Grand challenge Dress rehearsal Opening Court hearing Stop-press Workout Personal training Vernissage Hearing Review Test pilot Advisory group Working party Quest Certificate Jam session Dissection Hackathon Talk show Level up Expert panel Investigation Workshop Emergency room Launch Countdown Pit stop Meeting

≜





The teaching trick:

Do less of that which does not contribute (especially if it is expensive)

Spend less time on... writing feedback









End with fireworks

1 hour in plenary:

- Display the pdf and discuss each "Gold Star" full of enthusiasm and • passion (fireworks). Bring it on!
- End by recommending 3 4 essays to read before writing version 2.0 (for most students it is voluntary).
- Publish the pdf in the digital platform as an invitation to browse.













MIDDLE	END
 Peers comment Supervisors meet groups Supervisors approve revised drafts (without feedback) 	 Peers comment aga Supervisors grade revised reports (without feedback)
	 Supervisors meet groups Supervisors approve revised drafts (without









≜

RESULTS

Better learning:

- Work of higher quality:
 - more "finished" and worked out in detail
 - aligned with the instructions
 - better justifications for decisions
- Student feedback much faster than supervisors could have achieved.
- Students thought that reviewing took much time, but was rewarding.

More effective teaching:

- Supervisors' time is used to discuss face-to-face with students and guide them in interpreting and using the comments.
- Fewer students came to check "if they were on the right way".

Supervisors:

- · They all saw the improvements in student learning
- Still, some thought it felt "unprofessional" not to give written and detailed comments

The acts of teachers need to be judged in the light of their impact on student learning.



Dear Professor,

I coach the women's ultimate frisbee teams and based on your workshop I changed our program for the practice weekend.

Normally, since a game only involves 14 players, we would rotate and the others would do some drill on the side.

Now, instead, I had a non-playing team standing on the sidelines and assigned each of them a player. Then I stopped the game periodically and had the sideline players give individual feedback to their assigned player.

It went over remarkably well. A number of the ladies had very positive feedback, and said they had numerous strategy talks that they found incredibly helpful. It was also great for me, since I can't possibly watch every player all the time. It was incredibly time efficient!

So in conclusion, thanks again for the workshop. I thoroughly enjoyed it, and I thought you might like hearing about an application in a completely different "field"!

Best regards, Professor D

82

Why do teachers often keep doing things that are less effective for learning?













