	The power o	f quantum computing	
Leid	ler Lab en University (NL) hotonics.org	on one page	
Classical bits	Quantum bits	it's both 0 and 1 until measured	
one: 0 or 1	$ \Psi\rangle = c_0 0\rangle + c_1 1$	$1\rangle$ (quantum superposition)	
two: 00 or 01 or 10 or 11 $ \Psi\rangle = c_{00} 00\rangle + c_{01} 01\rangle + c_{10} 10\rangle + c_{11} 11\rangle$		$ 01 \rangle + c_{10} 10 \rangle + c_{11} 11 \rangle$	
N bits describe o number 02 ^N			
To describe only 265 qubits we need as many numbers than #atoms in universe (2^265) - by definition, this is impossible with classical information/physics.			
correlations (often entanglement) 10,000 atoms, assuming atoms qubits, this space is far big		Don't forget: a single protein contains 10,000 atoms, assuming atoms are qubits, this space is far bigger (2010000) Apparently, the (passible2)	
	But, after measuring, only ~N bits/ nbers of information can be obtained	(2^10000)! Apparently, the (possible?) amount of quantum information in our universe is much bigger than the maximum classical information content.	

And, obviously, we cannot initialize even only 2^265 numbers. How can a quantum algorithm be useful?

Prepare all N qubits in some separable basis state (roughly



Let the state evolve in a (very) clever way, where qubits interact, generate quantum correlations + entanglement **2^N numbers**



N numbers needed) **N numbers**

N numbers

Can this really be advantageous compared to classical information processing? We don't know yet for sure, but most likely it is!

Example: Deutsch algorithm with proven quantum advantage

- A function f(b₁, b₂, b₃,...,b_N) results either in the same answer (e.g. 0) independent of the input bits bi,
- or it is balanced: 50% of combinations of bi result in 0, 1 otherwise.
- Classical: need to test > 50% of possible inputs (= 2^N - 1)
- **Quantum**: one evaluation of f (but f must work on quantum inputs, this is a potential issue)

