Sustainable Software Engineering CS4295

7th March 2023

Approximate Computing (AxC) for Green Software

 \boxtimes J.Sallou@tudelft.nl $_1$

• ORGANISATION of the lecture

OPEN CONVERSATION

I prefer the conversational mode, as I find it more lively, engaging, and interesting for everyone.

So, if you have a question regarding the content I am talking about, or feel that you need more clarification, please interrupt me.

BREAK MIDWAY



Hello! I AM JUNE

I studied Agronomy, Bioinformatics and Software Engineering. This led me to bring together environmental sciences and software engineering in my research projects. I now aim to make (AI-based) software more (environmentally) sustainable.





1

What is Approximate Computing (AxC)?



Producing a less accurate result rather than the reference accurate result.









What for?

Why doing AxC? What is the goal?



Approximate Computing is based on the principle of a **trade-off** between accuracy and performance (e.g., execution time, memory, or energy consumption).





Why is it relevant?

Why is it relevant?

Discrete World











When to apply AxC?

Whenever the accuracy is not the priority. AxC is only relevant when considering the purpose of the execution.

66

WHEN?

- Image, video, signal processing
- Artificial Intelligence
- Telecommunications
- Mobile computing
- Etc

Netflix/Youtube/Twitch

WHEN?



 According to bandwidth of the network connexion, the fps (frame per second) rate is adapted. Google Maps

WHEN?



• Approximation in localizing feature.



- What about health applications?
- Self-driving cars?



How to apply AxC?







• AxC for Software





Computation Skipping

Applications:

- Search Space Enumeration
- Monte Carlo Simulation
- Iterative Refinement
- Data Structure Update

Loop perforation

- Data-oriented applications
- Reduce loop complexity

Loop perforation



28

• Early termination / Loop truncation

- Ending of computation before its end
- Convergent computation

Early termination / Loop truncation





• Loop unrolling (+ interpolation)

- Loop tiling (interpolation = copy nearby output)
 - ...

Task Skipping

- Skip an entire task (block of code)
- E.g., Adaptation of processing load of hardware capabilities (best effort computing)

Computation Replacement

- Algorithm Selection
- Parameter Adjustment
- Memoization
- Neural Network Approximation
- Mathematical Function Approximation

Algorithm Selection

There are several versions of the same processing task / code block, with different costs / accuracy.

Adaptation according to context, runtime environment, etc.

def compute_2_plus_2(): Return 2+(1-1)+12-10

def compute_2_plus_2_simplified(): Return 2+2

Parameter Adjustment

-> Hyperparameter tuning.

During the design of software, the application parameters are optimised. For each parameter, the best value for accuracy is selected.

Tuning those parameters to best match the performance goal.



Saving the results in a Look-Up Table.

The computation will not have to be performed again. Just checking the result in the table.

Inputs	Outputs
X ₁	Y ₁
X _n	Y _n

Neural Network Approximation

- Data-driven approach
- Replace a complex processing by a neural network
- Neural network have been studied a lot. Specific hardware to run them exist. Parallelism is possible.

- Requires a lot of data
- Resource-demanding

Training Dataset				
Inputs	Outputs			
X ₁	Y ₁			
X _n	Y _n			

37

Mathematical Function Approximation

A.k.a 'Model Order Reduction'

- Needs a great deal of (numerical) expertise
- Time-consuming







What is the limit?

(How to define the sky?)

WHAT is the limit?

WHEN TO STOP?

- Validation Metric
- Validation Criterion
- Execution Purpose
- Domain experts

 $\hat{\mathsf{E}}_{i} = \hat{\mathsf{Y}}_{i} - \mathsf{Y}_{i}$

 \hat{E}_i < threshold

WHAT is the limit?

Data processing domain	Quality evaluation function		
Digital signal processing	Signal to noise ratio		
	Mean squared error		
	Relative difference		
Image processing	Peak signal to noise ratio		
	SSIM		
	Mean squared error		
	Pixel difference		
Image segmentation	Ratio of misclustered points		
& recognition	Mean centroid distance		
	Top-1-top-5 classification		
Video coding	Bjøntegaard delta peak signal to noise ratio		
	Bjøntegaard delta bit rate		
Digital communications	Bit error rate		
Web search	Number of correct results in top 25 results		

WHAT is the limit?

0

...

Prediction of AI models :

- Precision = TP / (TP + FP)
- Recall = TP / (TP + FN)
- F1 score = 2 * (Precision * Recall) / (Precision + Recall)
- Mean Squared Error

$$ext{MSE} = rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \Big(Y_i - \hat{Y_i}\Big)^2.$$

Case Study

Scientific Computing

Case Study: Scientific Computing

Scientific simulation software is elaborated by scientists to understand real-world phenomena. Such software is **complex** and **long** to execute. Hence, software is not interactive, and not usable to support the decision making of stakeholders impacting activities involved in climate change.

Loop Aggregation for Approximate Scientific Computing



June Sallou^{1,2}(⊠), Alexandre Gauvain², Johann Bourcier¹(⊠), Benoit Combemale³([∞]), and Jean-Raynald de Dreuzy²

 ¹ Univ Rennes, Inria, CNRS, IRISA, Rennes, France {june.benvegnu-sallou, johann.bourcier}@irisa.fr
 ² Geosciences Rennes, OSUR, Rennes, France {alexandre.gauvain, jean-raynald.de-dreuzy}@univ-rennes1.fr
 ³ Inria and University of Toulouse Jean Jaurès, Toulouse, France benoit.combemale@inria.fr

Abstract. Trading off some accuracy for better performances in scientific computing is an appealing approach to ease the exploration of various alternatives on complex simulation models. Existing approaches involve the application of either time-consuming model reduction techniques or resource-demanding statistical approaches. Such requirements prevent any opportunistic model exploration, e.g., exploring various scenarios on environmental models. This limits the ability to analyse new models for scientists, to support trade-off analysis for decision-makers and to empower the general public towards informed environmental intelligence. In this paper, we present a new approximate computing technique, aka. loop aggregation, which consists in automatically reducing the main loop of a simulation model by aggregating the corresponding spatial or temporal data. We apply this approximate scientific computing approach on a geophysical model of a hydraulic simulation with various input data. The experimentation demonstrates the ability to drastically decrease the simulation time while preserving acceptable results with a minimal set-up. We obtain a median speed-up of 95,13% and up to 99.78% across all the 23 case studies.

Keywords: Approximate computing \cdot Trade-off \cdot Computational science

Case Study: Context



Case Study: AxC

- (Hydrogeological) simulation model = iterative computation over n days
- Inputs are recharge rates per day (i.e., quantities of water to enter the soil). => X
- Outputs are the level of the underground water. => Y

 $Y_0 = C$ For i=1, i<N+1, i+=1; $Y_{i} = f(X_{i}, Y_{i-1})$

Case Study: AxC

Perforation of the processing

17

$$Y_{i} = f(X_{i}, Y_{i-1})$$

• Case Study: AxC

- Perforation of the processing
- Interpolation of results for the skipped iterations

$$Y_0 = C$$

For i=1, i $Y_i = f(X_i, Y_{i-1})$
 $Y_{i-p+1}, Y_{i-1} = Interpolate(Y_{i-p}, Y_i)$

Case Study: AxC

- Perforation of the processing
- Interpolation of results for the skipped iterations

• Aggregation of inputs

$$Y_{0} = C$$

For i=1, i
$$Y_{i} = Aggregate(Y_{i-p+1}, Y_{i})$$
$$Y_{i} = f(X_{i}, Y_{i-1})$$
$$Y_{i-p+1}, Y_{i-1} = Interpolate(Y_{i-p}, Y_{i})$$

- Case Study: AxC => Loop Aggregation
- 1. Aggregation of inputs
- 2. Perforation of the processing

Interpolation of results for the skipped iterations

 $Y_{0} = C$ For i=1, i<N+1, i+=p; $Y_{i} = Aggregate(Y_{i-p+1}, Y_{i})$ $Y_{i} = f(X_{i}, Y_{i-1})$ $Y_{i-p+1}, Y_{i-1} = Interpolate(Y_{i-p}, Y_{i})$

Case Study: Aggregation

	Stress Period Number	SP Duration (days)	Recharge (m/day)	p = 2	Stress Period Number	SP Duration (days)	Recharge (m/day)
	0	1	0.00000		0	1	0.00000
\approx	1	1	0.00001	•	2	2	0.00000
	2	1	0.00000		4	2	0.000159
\approx	3	1	0.00012	0.00159			
	4	1	0.00159				

Case Study: Validation Metric





Case Study: Validation Metric (H<0.1m)

$$W_{s}(h) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } h < z_{s} - \left(d_{c} + \frac{\Delta d_{c}}{2}\right) \\ sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{h - \left(z_{s} - \left(d_{c} + \frac{\Delta d_{c}}{2}\right)\right)}{\Delta d_{c}}\right) & \text{if } z_{s} - \left(d_{c} - \frac{\Delta d_{c}}{2}\right) \le h \le z_{s} - \left(d_{c} + \frac{\Delta d_{c}}{2}\right) \\ 1 & \text{if } h > z_{s} - \left(d_{c} - \frac{\Delta d_{c}}{2}\right) \end{cases}$$
(1)

$$\|\Delta h\|_{2} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{t} \sum_{x} \max\left[W_{s}\left(h_{R}\left(x,t\right)\right), W_{s}\left(h_{A}\left(x,t\right)\right)\right] * \left(h_{R}\left(x,t\right) - h_{A}\left(x,t\right)\right)^{2}}{\sum_{t} \sum_{x} \max\left[W_{s}\left(h_{R}\left(x,t\right)\right), W_{s}\left(h_{A}\left(x,t\right)\right)\right]}}$$
(2)

Case Study: Results



We apply AxC to speed up the simulation execution. We adapt the software (data & algorithm) by reducing the number of iterations of the simulation. The experimentation shows a median speed-up of **95.13%**.





Case Study: Comments? Critical thinking?

Replication of time measurements

n	Number of	Mean	Median	Standard Deviation	RSE
р	replicates	(s)	(s)	(s)	(%)
1	30	3.57E + 04	3.66E + 04	3.01E + 03	8.42
365	30	$1.02E{+}03$	9.68E + 02	$1.71E{+}02$	16.77
3652	30	2.07E + 02	2.00E + 02	2.76E + 01	13.33



What about Green Software?



SOFTWARE is (H)EATING the world





PERFORMANCE = ENERGY EFFICIENCY

The goal is to make software greener by trading off accuracy for better energy efficiency.

AxC for Green Software



Dynamic Voltage and Frequency Scaling

Dynamic Power Management



Case Study: Green Al

With the growing availability of large-scale datasets, and the popularization of affordable storage and computational capabilities, the energy consumed by Al is becoming a growing concern.

Data-Centric Green AI An Exploratory Empirical Study



Roberto Verdecchia*, Luís Cruz[†], June Sallou[‡], Michelle Lin[§], James Wickenden[¶], Estelle Hotellier^{||}
*Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands - r.verdecchia@vu.nl
[†]Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands - l.cruz@tudelft.nl
[‡]Univ Rennes, France - june.benvegnu-sallou@irisa.fr
[§]McGill University, Canada - michelle.lin2@mail.mcgill.ca
[¶]University of Bristol, United Kingdom - jw17943@bristol.ac.uk
^{||}Inria. France - estelle.hotellier@inria.fr

Abstract—With the growing availability of large-scale datasets, and the popularization of affordable storage and computational capabilities, the energy consumed by AI is becoming a growing concern. To address this issue, in recent years, studies have focused on demonstrating how AI energy efficiency can be improved by tuning the model training strategy. Nevertheless, how modifications applied to datasets can impact the energy consumption of AI is still an open question.

To fill this gap, in this exploratory study, we evaluate if datacentric approaches can be utilized to improve AI energy efficiency. To achieve our goal, we conduct an empirical experiment, executed by considering 6 different AI algorithms, a dataset comprising 5,574 data points, and two dataset modifications (number of data points and number of features).

Our results show evidence that, by exclusively conducting modifications on datasets, energy consumption can be drastically reduced (up to 92.16%), often at the cost of a negligible or even absent accuracy decline. As additional introductory results, we demonstrate how, by exclusively changing the algorithm used, energy savings up to two orders of magnitude can be achieved.

In conclusion, this exploratory investigation empirically demonstrates the importance of applying data-centric techniques to improve AI energy efficiency. Our results call for a research agenda that focuses on data-centric techniques, to further enable and democratize Green AI.

Index Terms—Energy Efficiency, Artificial Intelligence, Green AI, Data-centric, Empirical Experiment

been estimated to consume the energy equivalent of a trans-American flight [5]. Hence, a new subfield is emerging to make the development and application of AI technologies environmentally sustainable: *Green AI* [6].

On a related note, the current research practice of collecting massive amounts of data is not necessarily yielding better results. Being able to collect high-quality data is more important than collecting big data – a trend coined as *Data-centric A1*¹. Instead of creating learning techniques that squeeze every bit of performance, data-centric AI focuses on leveraging systematic, reliable, and efficient practices to collect high-quality data.

Therefore, in this study, we conduct an exploratory empirical study on the intersection of Green AI and Data-centric AI. We investigate the potential impact of modifying datasets to improve the energy consumption of training AI models. In particular, we focus on machine learning, the branch of AI that deals with the automatic generation of models based on sample data – machine learning and AI are used interchangeably throughout this paper. In addition to investigating the energy impact of dataset modifications, we also analyze the inherent trade-offs between energy consumption and performance when reducing the size of the dataset – either in the number of

Case Study: Green Al



Case Study: Green AI

We apply AC to investigate the potential impact of modifying datasets to improve the energy consumption of training AI models. Our results show evidence that energy consumption can be drastically reduced (up to **92.16%**).



Take-Away Messages



Trade-Off

Accuracy vs Performance

Context

Execution purpose

Diversity of applications

Different levels, various domains of applications.

Validation Metric

Involvement of domain experts. With respect to execution purpose.



References

- Bosio, Alberto, Daniel Ménard, and Olivier Sentieys, eds. Approximate Computing Techniques: From Component-to Application-Level. Springer Nature, 2022.
- Mittal, Sparsh. "A survey of techniques for approximate computing." ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR) 48.4 (2016): 1-33.
- Xu, Qiang, Todd Mytkowicz, and Nam Sung Kim. "Approximate computing: A survey." IEEE Design & Test 33.1 (2015): 8-22.
- June Sallou. On reliability and flexibility of scientific software in environmental science : towards a systematic approach to support decision-making. Software Engineering [cs.SE]. Université Rennes 1, 2022. English. NNT : 2022REN1S020 . tel-03854849
- Sallou, June, et al. "Loop aggregation for approximate scientific computing." Computational Science–ICCS 2020: 20th International Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, June 3–5, 2020, Proceedings, Part II 20. Springer International Publishing, 2020.
- R. Verdecchia, L. Cruz, J. Sallou, M. Lin, J. Wickenden and E. Hotellier, "Data-Centric Green Al An Exploratory Empirical Study," 2022 International Conference on ICT for Sustainability (ICT4S), Plovdiv, Bulgaria, 2022, pp. 35-45, doi: 10.1109/ICT4S55073.2022.00015.

Thanks! Please, give me your feedback. Well Done! You've reached the end of the lecture. :)

You can contact me at J.Sallou@tudelft.nl